Not for Publication: Exempt under Access to Information Procedure Rule 9.2 (i)

Appendix 2

CSCI INSPECTION: INDEPENDENCE, WELLBEING AND CHOICE

Leeds City Council

ACTION PLAN

November 2008

Introduction

- 1. Attached is the Action Plan which has been developed in response to the CSCI Inspection on Independence, Wellbeing and Choice. It has been developed by the Departments Management Team and through a workshop with a wider group involving Adult Social Care managers and representatives of partner agencies.
- 2. The Inspection team has recognised that the services in Leeds are improving and elected members and officers are committed to sound and equitable provision. In turn there is a focussed determination to improve which is shared by members, managers and partners and confidence that front line staff share that determination.
- 3. The Action Plan responds to all recommendations. In particular it sets out strategies to deal with issues of front line practice in:
 - safeguarding where immediate action has been taken to assure a good multi-agency response where there is concern for the welfare of vulnerable individuals
 - the development of standards of practice in safeguarding, assessment, care management and hospital discharge which reflect a commitment to individual preference and choice
 - the development of a quality assurance framework which routinely shows how far these standards are achieved and feeds any lessons into improving practice
- 4. There are well established and sound working relationships with users, carers, the third sector and independent providers. It is planned to build on these to ensure that their contribution and that of front line practitioners is fed into proposals for practice and strategic development.
- 5. Notwithstanding that the Council has yet to finalise its budget for 2009/10, arrangements are in place to recruit 10 senior practitioners to support, coach and monitor quality in safeguarding and social care practice. A joint Head of Safeguarding, 3 independent safeguarding chairs and additional quality assurance staff will also be appointed as part of this process.

DH/TW4 21/11/08

- 6. The Action Plan will be robustly monitored:
 - Where numerical baselines have been established for performance and hard targets set, performance against these targets will be reported by the accountable Chief Officer against 'traffic light' performance reports to the monthly Departmental Management Team performance board.
 - This will be supported by monthly reporting to the Executive Lead Member and inclusion into the quarterly reporting to the Adult Social Care Scrutiny Board where performance will be open to public scrutiny and challenge.
 - In addition, in relation to performance against Adult Safeguarding targets, the Adult Safeguarding website will include dedicated space to report performance by the statutory partners and by the Partnership itself, accessible to the wider public.
 - ♦ The performance of the work of the Safeguarding Partnership Board and it's sub-groups will be reported to and open to challenge by the governance structures of the Statutory partners. Furthermore its overall annual performance will be formally reported through the Statutory boards of the partners.
- 7. The action plan contains an implementation timetable describing the urgency associated with specific actions and the timespan over which the issue is proposed to be addressed.
- 8. There are clear accountabilities set out in the Plan for the achievement of each improvement. A list of responsible officers and their job role is given in the plan.
- 9. In the end the success of this Action Plan will depend on and be measured by improved outcomes for the people of Leeds.
- 10. The Plan makes plain these aspirations and how they will be measured.

Sandie Keene Director of Adult Social Care

DH/TW4 21/11/08

Leeds City Council APPENDIX 2 Not for Publication: Exempt under Access to Information Procedure Rule 9.2 (i)

Independence, Wellbeing & Choice Inspection Action Plan

Recommendation 1: The Council should urgently ensure that concerns are investigated, strategy meetings and protection plans devised and implemented where necessary

	Additional Resources N/Y,	Z	Z
Related	Plans: Strategic, Council, Business, etc.	Adult Safeguarding Plan 2008/09	Adult Safeguarding Plan 2008/09
	Chief Officer: Accountable for achieving the aim	Director of Adult Social Services	Director of Adult Social Services
P	Lead: Who will be responsible for delivering the work?	Dennis Holmes Chief Officer (Social Care Commissioning)	Dennis Holmes Chief Officer (Social Care Commissioning)
	Key Stakeholders: Who needs to be involved in the work or consulted?	Leeds PCT Leeds Hospital Trust Leeds Partnership Trust West Yorkshire Police West Yorkshire Police	Leeds PCT Leeds Hospital Trust Leeds Partnership Trust West Yorkshire Police West Yorkshire Probation Service
Success Criteria:	How will you know that the action has achieved its intended aim? Ie, task complete, measures in place.	All statutory agencies formally committed via written Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) which is signed by all partners	Safeguarding Partnership Board and sub group structure is established with new TOR. These provide the governance to ensure and monitor that all relevant agencies and staff are equipped to safeguard vulnerable adults across Leeds Improvements to be measured by the QA sub-group. Baseline & targets
	Actual Finish	Nov 08	Nov 08
	Plan Finish	Nov- 08	Nov- 08
	Plan Start	Sep-	Sep-
	Urgency	Yr 1 Qtr 3	Yr 1 Qtr 3
	Action	Meeting of Director of Adult Social Services, Chair of Safeguarding Board, Partner Executive Directors and Chief Officers to secure the commitment to the rapid development of local multi-agency safeguarding	The TOR of the Adult Safeguarding Partnership Board are re-written and agreed to reflect current national best practice requirements in safeguarding vulnerable adult arrangements across Leeds.
	Aim/Outcome	Multi-Agency arrangements for Safeguarding meet national standards and protect vulnerable adults.	Multi-Agency arrangements for Safeguarding meet national standards and protect vulnerable adults.
		7.	2.

Yr2 = 2009/10Yr1 = 2008/09,

Draft Version TW5

Leeds City Council APPENDIX 2 Not for Publication: Exempt under Access to Information Procedure Rule 9.2 (i)

	Y In year	investment	z	z
	Adult Safeguarding	Plan 2008/09	Adult Social Care Business Plan 2008/09 / Adult Safeguarding Plan 2008/09	Adult Social Care Business Plan 2008/09 / Adult Safeguarding Plan 2008/09
	Director of Adult		Chief Officer (Access and Inclusion) Chief Officer (Learning Disability)	Chief Officer (Access and Inclusion) Chief Officer (Learning Disability)
	Dennis Holmes Chief Officer (Social	Commissioning)	Brian Ratner, Nyoka Fothergill, Jim Taynor, Phil Schofield, Jane Moran, Gill Chapman, Steve Bardsley. (Service Delivery Managers)	Brian Ratner, Nyoka Fothergill, Jim Taynor, Phil Schofield, Jane Moran, Gill Chapman, Steve Bardsley. (Service Delivery Managers)
	Safeguarding Parthershin Roard		Service Delivery Managers/ Safeguarding Enquiry Coordinators: Practitioners/ Fieldwork Practitioners.	Service Delivery Managers/ Safeguarding Enquiry Co-ordinators: Practitioners/ Fieldwork Practitioners.
to be established.	Head of Adult Safeguarding is jointly appointed. All key stages of the Adult Safeguarding	plan 2008/09 are completed & plan for 09/10 published and actioned. All staff are aware of and understand	and understand and understand expectations regarding the safeguarding procedures and the need for effective outcomes evidenced via audit of enquiries post Sept 08 by independent auditor. Report defines any further action required and Chief officer action with fieldwork staff to embed requirements	Casework audit shows that fieldwork staff are being effectively supervised and this is evidenced in case file notes in relation to safeguarding casework
	Jan-09		Dec- 08 Mar 09	Jan 09
	Oct-	50	Sep- 08 08	Oct-
	Yr 1 Qtr 3		Yr 1 Qtr 3	Yr 1 Qtr 3
	A Head of Safeguarding appointed with partners to drive and support the	boards work.	Letter to all Service Delivery Managers and team managers outlining requirements in relation to current safeguarding practice to be cascaded and managed via the line management structure.	Roll out to fieldwork staff a supervision checklist as an aide memoire, including key issues for frontline managers to consider in supervision in relation to safeguarding practice.
	Leadership of Adult Safeguarding Board is effective	and an angement and ensure that vulnerable adults are safeguarded.	Staff engaged with the delivery of protective action to safeguard vulnerable adults are provided with immediate advice on minimum standards of practice	Management action ensures that frontline management quality assurance is effective in supporting good practice
	1.3		1 .	£.

Yr1 = 2008/09, Yr2 = 2009/10

Draft Version TW5

Leeds City Council APPENDIX 2 Not for Publication: Exempt under Access to Information Procedure Rule 9.2 (i)

	z	Y In year budget	۲ In year budget
	Adult Social Care Business Plan 2008/09 / Adult Safeguarding Plan 2008/09	Adult Social Care Business Plan 2008/09 / Adult Safeguarding Plan 2008/09	Adult Safeguarding Plan 2008/09
	Chief Officer (Access and Inclusion) Chief Officer (Learning Disability)	Chief Officer (Social Care Commissioning)	Chief Officer (Access and Inclusion) Chief Officer (Learning Disability)
Emma Mortimer (Safeguarding Coordinator)	Graham Sephton (Deputy HR Manager)	Margaret Flynn (External Expert)	John Lennon Chief Officer (Access and Inclusion)
	Service Delivery Managers/ Safeguarding Enquiry Co- ordinators: Practitioners/ Fieldwork Practitioners.	Service Delivery Managers/ Safeguarding Enquiry Coordinators: Practitioners/ Fieldwork Practitioners/ Safeguarding Pattnership Board.	Service Delivery Managers: Safeguarding Enquiry Co- ordinators: Practitioners: Administrators
	All fieldwork teams have attended a training session on roles & responsibilities in relation to safeguarding by the end of the year.	Audit report shows improved standard of practice compared with inspection findings. Establishes a baseline of current practice.	Additional specialist resources are in place to support existing fieldwork in ensuring that vulnerable adults are safeguarded. Future monitoring demonstrates improved outcomes for people. Baseline measures to be established
	Dec.	Dec- 08	Jan-09 June 09
	08 98	08 08	Oct- 08 Jan 09
	Yr 1 Qtr 3	Yr 1 Qtr 3	Yr 1 Qtr 3
	Each social work team has undertaken a workshop training session on roles and responsibilities in relation to safeguarding.	Review 20 sampled safeguarding cases by external consultant to ascertain progress in improvement of standards.	Establish 10 Senior Practitioner posts with associated administrative support to coach, support, audit and assure quality of practice concentrating initially on safeguarding work in front line adult social care teams.
	Frontline staff are equipped to safeguard vulnerable adults and have competencies to do so effectively.	Independent audit undertaken & establishes that vulnerable people in Leeds are being effectively safeguarded	Fieldwork Structures are reinforced to coach, support and monitor quality of practice
	9.1	1.7	1.8

N = to be met from existing resources Y = in year or investment budgeted

Yr2 = 2009/10

Leeds City Council
APPENDIX 2 Not for Publication: Exempt under Access to Information Procedure Rule 9.2 (i)

Y In year budget					
Adult Safeguarding Roard Artion	Plan 2008/09				
Chief Officer (Social Care	Commissioning)				
Emma Mortimer (Safeguarding	Coordinator)				
The three posts are linked to the Adult Safeguarding Unit and support the work of the Conditional Confinction or	relation to assuring the quality of front-line interagency safeguarding work				
Additional specialist resources are in place to support existing fieldwork in ensuring that vulnerable adults are safeguarded.	Future monitoring demonstrates improved outcomes for people. Baseline measures to be established				
Jan-09	June 09				
Oct-	Jan 09				
Yr 1 Qtr 3					
Establish 3 independent specialist chairs in the city to independently manage all case conferences and strategy	meetings. Establish appropriate administrative support to these posts.				
Independent Quality Assurance Processes are implemented and	ensure timely and effective safeguarding.				
<u>.</u> 9.					

Yr1 = 2008/09, Yr2 = 2009/10

Recommendation 2: The Council should strengthen frontline quality assurance arrangements to ensure that minimum standards of practice and recording are implemented routinely in responding to adult safeguarding alerts.

	d ic, Additional I, Resources ss,	Adult Safeguarding Plan 2008/09	Adult Safeguarding In year Plan 2008/09 budget		
	Related Icer: Plans: Sle for Strategic, The Council, Business, etc.				
	Chief Officer: Se Accountable for achieving the aim	Chief Officer (Social Care Commissioning)			
	Lead: Who will be responsible for delivering the work?	Stuart Cameron- Strickland (Head Of Performance)	Stuart Cameron- Strickland (Head Of Performance)		
ub-group.	Key Stakeholders: Who needs to be involved in the work or consulted?	Commissioning/ Adult Safeguarding Partnership/ Performance and Quality Assurance.	Commissioning/ Adult Safeguarding Partnership/		
Quality Assurance s	Success Criteria: How will you know that the action has achieved its intended aim? le, task complete, measures in place.	A clear basis for measuring and managing performance is established which will demonstrate best practice and outcomes for service users and carers.	A systematic approach to assuring safeguarding		
ent of the	Actual Finish				
developm	Plan Finish	00-unn			
ritise the	Plan Start	0 0 pt			
should prior	Urgency	Yr 1 Qtr 4	Yr 1 Qtr 4		
grants are guarants. Recommendation 6: The Adult Safeguarding Board should prioritise the development of the Quality Assurance sub-group.	Action	Establish practice standards, and competencies in relation to: - adult safeguarding practice interagency work, - communications, recording, and information sharing with partner agencies Case management - referral, assessment, care planning and review appraisal and supervision, - hospital discharge processes and associated services to support, - advocacy, information and support, care users and carers, -direct payments and self directed care.	Specialist consultant audits practice standards to inform and establish an ASC independent		
Recommendation 6: The	Aim/Outcome	Expectations about the quality of practice reflect those of service users and stakeholders. Services can be evidenced as meeting these expectations and services are committed to meeting the expectations are expectations.	Independent Quality Assurance Processes are developed and		
Reco		2.	2.2		

Yr2 = 2009/10Yr1 = 2008/09,

Draft Version TW5

Leeds City Council APPENDIX 2 Not for Publication: Exempt under Access to Information Procedure Rule 9.2 (i)

- 1		
		z
		Adult Safeguarding Plan 2008/09
		Chief Officer (Social Care Commissioning)
		Stuart Cameron- Strickland (Head Of Performance)
	Quality Assurance Quality Assurance Sub group Access and Inclusion Service	AP Board and Subgroup/ Elected Members/ Non-executive Directors from Health/ Scrutiny/ Executive Lead Member.
	established informed by independent expertise in safeguarding practice. Compliance with practice standards evidenced. A baseline needs to be established.	A monthly schedule for quality reports and action plans established and monitoring of progress ongoing. Baselines are established from which to measure practice improvement. Improvements in practice and outcomes for people are evidenced by the reports.
		Apr-09
		Feb- 09
		Yr 1 Qtr 4
	systems (See 1.7)	Establish regular detailed quality reporting and review to: - DMT Board, (monthly) - Operational managers, - Safeguarding Board via Performance Monitoring & Quality Assurance subgroup, - Scrutiny board, Setting out the effectiveness of intervention and achievement of standards.
	improving performance	Independent Quality Assurance Processes are developed and effective in improving performance
		დ

N = to be met from existing resources Y = in year or investment budgeted

Yr2 = 2009/10

Leeds City Council APPENDIX 2 Not for Publication: Exempt under Access to Information Procedure Rule 9.2 (i)

bria agers le l		
Frontine quality assurance per file audits galins and provide audits and compliance with a garded checklet by front per file audits against and compliance with a garded checklet by frontine practitiones and frontine practitiones and frontine practitiones and provide adults. Provide processes of morphological and provide and provide and provide and provide and provide and	Z	Z
Frontline quality assurance compilance with frontline practice successes of managers can worker and selected	Adult Safeguarding Plan 2008/09 Access and Inclusion Service Improvement Plan	Adult Safeguarding Plan 2008/09 Access and Inclusion Service Improvement Plan
Frontline quality assurance compliance with assurance and improvements in peer file audits against an agreed checklists by safeguarding safeguarding fortiline practitioners and managers can widerable adults. Frontline managers and provide and and are developing processes of improvements in angreed checklists by safeguarding safeguarding fortiline practitioners and managers are able informed and contribute to safeguarding managers - sharing provention. Frontline managers and provide and graded checklists by a safeguarding processes of improvements in angreed checklist by a safeguarding proverition. Frontline managers and provide adults. Frontline managers and provide and provide and provide and safeguarding proverition. Adult provide and provide and provide and provide and are developing managers - sharing working. Adult provide and provide and provide and are developing proverition. Adult provide and provide and provide and are developing proverition. Adult provide and provide and provide and are developing proverition. Adult provide and provide and provide and are developing proverition. Adult provided provide and provide and are developing proverition. Adult provided provided provided provided provided provided provided awareness and proverition. Adult provided pro	Chief Officer (Access and Inclusion) Chief Officer (People with Learning Disability)	Chief Officer (Access and Inclusion) Chief Officer (People with Learning Disability)
Frontline quality assurance ensures improvements in peer file addits against an complaince with great checklists by safeguarding managers can witherable adults. Managers can evidence that care packages are creative, personalised, informed and or outcombute to safeguarding contribute to safeguarding awareness and prevention. Establish quality circle for working awareness and prevention. Frontline managers and provides and provide audits and provides and provided and are developing more creative, personalised, informed and or ontribute to safeguarding awareness and prevention. Frontline managers and provides of performance setablished and are developing more creative, personalised, informed and or ontribute to safeguarding awareness and prevention. Frontline managers and provides and provide and are developing more creative, personalised ways of interagency managers - sharing awareness and prevention. An	Brian Rather, Nyoka Fothergill, Jim Taynor, Phil Schoffeld, Jane Moran, Gill Chapman, Steve Bardsley. (Service Delivery Managers) & Emma Mortimer (Safeguarding Coordinator)	Brian Ratner, Nyoka Fothergill, Jim Taynor, Phil Schoffeld, Jane Moran, Gill Chapman, Steve Bardsley. (Service Delivery Managers) & Emma Mortimer (Safeguarding Coordinator)
Frontline quality assurance ensurance ensurance improvements in peer file audits against an compliance with agreed checklists by safeguarding frontline practitioners and delivery of safeguarding outcomes for vulnerable adults. Managers can evidence that care packages are creative, personalised, informed and contribute to safeguarding awareness and prevention.	Adult Safeguarding professional practice subgroup	Adult Safeguarding professional practice subgroup
Frontline quality assurance ensures ensures ensures ensures peer file audits against an compliance with safeguarding safeguarding outcomes for vulnerable adults. Managers can evidence that care packages are creative, personalised, informed and contribute to safeguarding awareness and prevention.	Frontline managers undertake audits and provide quarterly report to DMT performance board. (see 2.3) Baselines for performance established and reports show improved performance.	Managers are able to operate to minimum standards and are developing more creative, personalised ways of interagency working. This is evidenced in QA of case work. Baseline measures to be established (see 1.7)
Frontline quality assurance ensures ensures ensures ensures peer file audits against an compliance with safeguarding safeguarding outcomes for vulnerable adults. Managers can evidence that care packages are creative, personalised, informed and contribute to safeguarding awareness and prevention.		
Frontline quality assurance ensures improvements in compliance with safeguarding standards and delivery of safeguarding outcomes for vulnerable adults. Managers can evidence that care packages are creative, personalised, informed and contribute to safeguarding awareness and prevention.	Dec-	Mar 09
Frontline quality assurance ensures improvements in compliance with safeguarding standards and delivery of safeguarding outcomes for vulnerable adults. Managers can evidence that care packages are creative, personalised, informed and contribute to safeguarding awareness and prevention.	Oct-	Jan 09
Frontline quality assurance ensures improvements in compliance with safeguarding standards and delivery of safeguarding outcomes for vulnerable adults. Managers can evidence that care packages are creative, personalised, informed and contribute to safeguarding awareness and prevention.	Yr 1 Qtr 3	Yr 1 Qtr 4
	Develop processes of peer file audits against an agreed checklist by frontline practitioners and managers:	Establish quality circle for managers - sharing learning
6. 4. 6. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7.	Frontline quality assurance ensures improvements in compliance with safeguarding standards and delivery of safeguarding outcomes for vulnerable adults.	Managers can evidence that care packages are creative, personalised, informed and contribute to safeguarding awareness and prevention.
	4.	, 5

Yr2 = 2009/10

Leeds City Council APPENDIX 2 Not for Publication: Exempt under Access to Information Procedure Rule 9.2 (i)

Z	Z			
Adult Safeguarding Plan 2008/09	Adult Safeguarding Plan 2008/09			
Chief Officer (Social Care Commissioning)	Chief Officer (Social Care Commissioning)			
Emma Mortimer Adult (Safeguarding Coordinator)	Emma Mortimer Adult (Safeguarding Coordinator)			
Statutory Partners, Elected Members, Non-executives from health, Service user and carer reps,	Statutory Partners, Elected Members, Non-executives from health, Service user and carer reps, Performance leads			
A core group with TOR defining governance and reporting arrangements is approved by the Safeguarding Partnership board.	Audit report completed and recommendations approved by Safeguarding Partnership board.			
Dec- 08	Mar- 09			
Jul- 08	00 ct-			
Yr 1 Qtr 3	Yr 1 Qtr 3			
The partnership board to establish a Performance, Audit and Quality Assurance (PAQA) sub group with representation from key agencies.	An audit of existing arrangements is undertaken by PAQA. Recommendations for improvements are made. A report of this is submitted to the board for agreement.			
Improvements in safeguarding work and outcomes can be shown to flow from management action and governance arrangements put in place by the safeguarding partnership.	Improvements in safeguarding work and outcomes can be shown to flow from management action and governance arrangements put in place by the safeguarding partnership.			
2.6	2.7			

Yr2 = 2009/10

APPENDIX 2 Not for Publication: Exempt under Access to Information Procedure Rule 9.2 (i) **Leeds City Council**

Recommendation 3: The Council and its partners should agree and implement improved procedures, ensuring that these:
- Set out specific and monitorable expectation on staff from all agencies.
- Implements a system of compliance monitoring processes that ensure consistent practice.

			1					_	
Additional Resources	:	z		z			z		
Related Plans: Strategic, Council, Business, etc.	Leeds Strategic Plan 2008/11	Adult Safeguarding Plan 2008/09	Leeds Stratedic Plan	2008/11 Adult Safeguarding	Flan 2000/09	Adult Social	Care Comms	Strategy	resources
Chief Officer: Accountable for achieving the aim	Chief Officer	(Social Care Commissioning)		Chief Officer (Social Care Commissioning)		zociio i o i o i	(Resources)		N = to be met from existing resources
Lead: Who will be responsible for delivering the work?	Emma Mortimer Adult (Safeguarding Goordinator)	Head of Safeguarding		Emma Mortimer Adult (Safeguarding Coordinator)		Mike Sells	(Communications	Manager)	N = to be
Key Stakeholders: Who needs to be involved in the work or consulted?	Safeguarding Partnership/	Service users and carers	:	Sateguarding Partnership/ Service users and carers		Safeguarding	Service users and	carers/ The public	
Success Criteria: How will you know that the action has achieved its intended aim? le, task complete, measures in place.	Procedures agreed by partners and agencies.	Procedures ratified by all partners and agencies.	Protocols are in place and agreed	QA of case files evidence effective use of protocols baseline and targets	to be developed and agreed.	Marketing strategy	nallielled subjection	Surveys and quality	TW/5
Actual Finish									Draft Version TW5
Plan Finish	Dec- 08	Dec 09	Jan-09	June 09		60-unf		Jan 10	Draff
Plan Start	Oct 07	Dec 08	004-	ga ga		Oct-	8	Jun	
Urgency	Yr 1 Qtr 3			Yr 1 Qtr 3		Yr 1 Qtr 3/	1	Yr 2 Qtr 1	
Action	Stage 1: Revise multi- agency safeguarding procedures.	Stage 2: Ratify procedures through all agencies governance processes	Agree protocols for Joint	Working with Adult Social Care across partner agencies, and with particular regard to identified vulnerability. ie,	homeless unit, community safety, domestic violence leads, etc.	Specify and implement a	communications and	social marketing strategy	000/10
Aim/Outcome	Arrangements for safeguarding vulnerable adults	are effective across agencies and disciplines.		Arrangements for safequarding	vulnerable adults are coordinated across agencies and disciplines	Increase	understanding of	issues and	$Yr1 = 2008/09 \qquad Yr2 = 2009/10$
		ы 1.		3.2			3.3		Yr1 =

Yr2 = 2009/10Yr1 = 2008/09

Draft Version 1W5

Leeds City Council APPENDIX 2 Not for Publication: Exempt under Access to Information Procedure Rule 9.2 (i)

	z
	Leeds Strategic Plan 2008/11 Adult Safeguarding Plan 2009/10
	Chief Officer (Social Care Commissioning)
	TBC (see Rec 1.3) (Head of Adult Safeguarding)
	Safeguarding Partnership/ Service users and carers/ The public
assurance establish baseline and targets relating to outcome measures.	Charter is developed by Adult Safeguarding Partnership board sub-group and ratified by board by Jan 2010 for adoption by partners
	Jan 10
60	unr 00
	Yr2 Qtr 2/3
in relation to adult safeguarding,	Partners, agencies, service users, carers and public have information that is accurate, accessible & appropriate in terms of safeguarding standards & are able to take action to shape policy and hold the partnership to account
arrangements regarding safeguarding vulnerable adults.	Develop a Safeguarding Adults Charter for Leeds
	ε. 4.

Yr2 = 2009/10

Leeds City Council APPENDIX 2 Not for Publication: Exempt under Access to Information Procedure Rule 9.2 (i)

Recommendation 4: The Council and partners should progress the emerging multi-agency training strategy and link this development with the agreed set of minimum competencies from specific roles within the adult safeguarding process

Additional Resources	Z	Υ Incorporate into budget for 09/10
Related Plans: Strategic, Council, Business, etc.	Adult Safeguarding Plan 2008/09	Adult Safeguarding Plan 2008/09
Chief Officer: Accountable for achieving the aim	Chief Officer (Social Care Commissioning)	Chief Officer (Social Care Commissioning)
Lead: Who will be responsible for delivering the work?	Emma Mortimer (Safeguarding Coordinator) Graham Sephton (Deputy Head of HR)	TBC (see Rec 1.3) (Head of Adult Safeguarding) Graham Sephton (Deputy Head of HR)
Key Stakeholders: Who needs to be involved in the work or consulted?	Adult Safeguarding Partnership / HR / Practitioners / Service Users and Carers	Safeguarding Partnership / HR / Practitioners / Service Users and Carers
Success Criteria: How will you know that the action has achieved its incheded aim? le, task complete, measures in place.	Establish and fund a plan which demonstrates a multi-agency commitment and reflects cross agency training requirements resulting in the effective safeguarding of adults across Leeds	Interagency strategy for safeguarding training established. A rolling programme is implemented and targets for numbers to be trained across agencies are met. Targets to be defined and agreed.
Actual Finish		
Plan Finish	April 09	Apr-09 Sep 09
Plan	Oct-	лар Обо Обо
Urgency	Yr 1 Qtr 3/ 4	Yr 1 Qtr 4 Yr 2 Qtr 3/4
Action	Scope out at a high level training requirements and secure resources across agencies. See 1.6, 1.7 and 1.8 above	Agree mandatory multiagency training programme including: Training subgroup to incorporate workforce leads. Identify staff who require specific competencies and training requirements Establish training frequency for all roles and partners
Aim/Outcome	Everyone involved in safeguarding understands the partnership's vision and has the knowledge and skills to deliver effective safeguarding practice	Everyone involved in safeguarding understands the partnership's vision and has the knowledge and skills to deliver effective safeguarding practice
	1.	4.2

Leeds City Council APPENDIX 2 Not for Publication: Exempt under Access to Information Procedure Rule 9.2 (i)

Y Incorporate into budget for 09/10		
Adult Safeguarding Plan 2008/10		
Chief Officer (Social Care Commissioning)		
Stuart Cameron Strickland (Head of Performance)		
Safeguarding Partnership QA sub-group/ HR - Training/ Practitioners/ Service Users and	Carers.	
Establish baseline and agree targets for training key staff across agencies based upon 4.1 which evidences that all frontline internal and external staff are aware of how to identify vulnerable adults and respond appropriately to concerns.	user experience surveys evidence improved safeguarding experience. Yr 1: 90% of respondents feel safe. Yr 2: 95% of respondents feel	
Sep-	Mar 10	
Apr- 09		
Yr 2 Qtr 1 8 2		
Monitor training via the Training and Quality Assurance subgroups		
Everyone involved in safeguarding understands the partnership's vision and has the knowledge and skills to deliver effective safeguarding practice		
4. ئ		

12

Yr2 = 2009/10

Recommendation 5: The Council should ensure that staff are alert to potential risk factors where people live in situations of ongoing vulnerability and that appropriate contingency plans are put in place.

Additional	z	Y In year budget
Related Plans: Strategic, Council, Business, etc.	Adult Safeguarding Plan 2008/09	Adult Safeguarding Plan 2008/09
Chief Officer: Accountable for achieving the aim	Chief Officer (Access and Inclusion) Chief Officer (Learning Disability)	Chief Officer (Social Care Commissioning)
Lead: Who will be responsible for delivering the work?	TBC (see Rec 1.3) (Head of safeguarding)	Stuart Cameron – Strickland (Head of Performance)
Key Stakeholders: Who needs to be involved in the work or consulted?	Safeguarding Partnership Board/ Practitioners/ Service Users and Carers	Safeguarding Partnership Board/ Practitioners/ Service Users and Carers
Success Criteria: How will you know that the action has achieved its intended aim? le, task complete, measures in place.	All vulnerable people subject to a safeguarding enquiry are consistently assessed for risk	Baseline activity on risk assessment and use of contingency plans to be established from Sept 09
Actual Finish		
Plan Finish	Sep-	Jan 10
Plan Start	Dec- 08	Sep
Urgency	Yr 1 Qtr 4 & Yr 2 Qtr 2	Yr 2 Qtr 2
Action	Establish a risk management protocol and standard for protection of people living in vulnerable situations including partner agencies - A) Differentiate risk, monitor and manage this. B) Establish an information protocol around risk and vulnerability. C) Establish agreed process and standard for contingency planning.	QA framework (as in arrangements in recommendation 2.2 and 2.3)to incorporates analysis of risk management
Aim/Outcome	Risk factors are managed consistently in accordance with policies and staff respond effectively to mitigate risks effectively in relation to safeguarding concerns	Risk factors are managed consistently in accordance with policies and staff respond effectively to mitigate risks effectively in relation to safeguarding concerns
	r.	5.2

Draft Version TW5

Yr2 = 2009/10

Yr1 = 2008/09,

N = to be met from existing resources Y = in year or investment budgeted

<u>ჯ</u>

Recommendation 7: The Adult Safeguarding Board should agree an adult safeguarding serious case review process and mechanisms for sharing performance issues and learning with partner agencies.

Additional	Y In year budget
Related Plans: Strategic, Council, Business, etc.	Adult Safeguarding Plan 2008/09
Chief Officer: Accountable for achieving the aim	Director of Adult Social Services
Lead: Who will be responsible for delivering the work?	Chief Officer (Social Care Commissioning)
Key Stakeholders: Who needs to be involved in the work or consulted?	Adult Safeguarding Board Partners
Success Criteria: How will you know that the action has achieved its intended aim? le, task complete, measures in place.	1/ The procedure is formally agreed by the board 2/ The procedure is formally adopted within all parther agencies. Future arrangements for the review of potentially serious cases & criteria are managed within the policy & practice sub-group of the Adult Safeguarding Parthership Board (see Rec 1.2)
Actual Finish	Agree d Sept 08
Plan Finish	Dec- 08 Sep 09
Plan	Jul- 08 08
Urgency	Yr 1 Qtr 3
Action	Ensure final draft of serious case review procedure is agreed by the board the board Ensure final draft of serious case review procedure is taken through governance structures of statutory partners.
Aim/Outcome	The serious care review process is effective & the partnership evidence learning and dissemination of good practice
	7.1

Yr2 = 2009/10

Leeds City Council APPENDIX 2 Not for Publication: Exempt under Access to Information Procedure Rule 9.2 (i)

Y In year budget
Adult Safeguarding Plan 2008/09
Chief Officer (Social Care Commissioning)
Emma Mortimer (Safeguarding Coordinator)
Adult Safeguarding Board Partners
A pilot of two serious case reviews will have been conducted Findings and action reported in report to the board
Feb- 09 Apr 09
Nov- 08 Mar 09
Yr 1 Qtr 3 & 4
Safeguarding Partnership Board conducts serious case reviews using new procedures and revise procedures in line with learning. (see recommendations 4
The serious care review process is effective & the partnership evidence learning and dissemination of good practice
7.2

N = to be met from existing resources Y = in year or investment budgeted

Yr2 = 2009/10

Leeds City Council

APPENDIX 2 Not for Publication. Exempt under Access to Information Procedure Rule 9.2 (i)

Recommendation 8: The safeguarding board should strengthen its leadership role and processes for informing and reporting practice issues to elected members.

Recommendation 25: The Council and its partners should strengthen governance arrangements so that elected members and relevant Chief Officers in partner organisations have a clear understanding of the performance of adult safeguarding arrangements.

Aim/Outcome Action Leadership of Accountability arrangements for Accountability and in ensuring a safeguarding approvase revised terms of the Safeguarding appropriate			
Urgency Plan Start Finish Finish Actual Actual Actual Actual Information (All Pow Will you know Stakeholders: A state of the Actual Actual Actual Actual Info (All Pow Will you know of that the action has stated or that the action has been considered. Who will be action has stated or that the action has stated or that the action has action has a considered. Who will be action has stated or that the action has a considered. Who will be action has a considered aim? In Leads is clear, work or consulted? Lead: Who will be action the action has action has a considered. Who will be action that a considered aim? In Leads is clear, work or consulted? Lead: Who will be action to a chief or action that a chief aim and action that a chief aim and action that a chief action and action that a chief action and action that a chief action t	Additional Resources	z	Y In year budget
Success Criteria: Success Criteria:	Related Plans: Strategic, Council, Business, etc.	Adult Safeguarding Plan 2008/09	Adult Safeguarding Plan 2008/09
Success Criteria: How will you know that the action has Stakeholders: Actual Actual achieved its Who needs to be intended aims? Ie, involved in the intended aims? Ie, involved in the measures in place. Accountability for safeguarding vulnerable adults in Leeds is clear, transparent and unambiguous to partners and other stakeholders Nov- Nov reference adopted Partnership Board/ statutory partners Substitutory partners Revised terms of Safeguarding safeguarding stakeholders Revised terms of Safeguarding safeguarding stakeholders Nov- Nov reference adopted Partnership Board/ statutory partners Revised terms of Safeguarding safeguarding statutory partners Members	Chief Officer: Accountable for achieving the aim	Director of Adult Social Services	Chief Officer (Social Care Commissioning)
Urgency Plan Plan Actual How will you know that the action has achieved its intended aim? le, task complete, measures in place. Yr 1 Qtr 3 Jun-08 Nov- Nov reference adopted by statutory partners and ratified by statutory partners and restricts.	Lead: Who will be responsible for delivering the work?	Director of Adult Social Services	Chief Executives/ Officers of safeguarding partners
Urgency Plan Plan Actual Finish Finish Finish Sept Oct 08 Oct 08 Yr 1 Qtr 3 Jun-08 Nov- Nov 08 08	Key Stakeholders: Who needs to be involved in the work or consulted?	Safeguarding Parthership Board/ NED's / Elected Members	Safeguarding Partnership Board/ NED's / Elected Members
Urgency Plan Plan Acti Finish	Success Criteria: How will you know that the action has achieved its intended aim? le, task complete, measures in place.	Accountability for safeguarding vulnerable adults in Leeds is clear, transparent and unambiguous to partners and other stakeholders	Revised terms of reference adopted and ratified by statutory partners
Urgency Start Plan Prince Start Prince	Actual Finish	Oct 08	Nov 08
Urgency Yr 1 Qtr 3	Plan Finish	Oct 08	Nov- 08
	Plan Start	Sept 08	90-unr
Aim/Outcome Action Leadership of Adult Safeguarding Safeguarding are established through a in ensuring delivery of arrangement between the appropriate safeguarding safeguar	Urgency	Yr 1 Qtr 3	Yr 1 Qtr 3
Aim/Outcome Leadership of Adult Safeguarding Board is effective in ensuring delivery of appropriate safeguarding activity & outcomes for people Leadership of Adult Safeguarding Board is effective in ensuring delivery of appropriate safeguarding activity & outcomes for Adult Safeguarding Board is effective in ensuring delivery of appropriate safeguarding activity & outcomes for people	Aim/Outcome Action	Accountability arrangements for Adult Safeguarding are established through a distinct formal delegation arrangement between the Director of Adult Social Services and The Chair of the Safeguarding Board	Safeguarding Board approves revised terms of reference and membership
8. 8. 2. 8. 2. 8. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4.	Aim/Outcome	Leadership of Adult Safeguarding Board is effective in ensuring delivery of appropriate safeguarding activity & outcomes for people	Leadership of Adult Safeguarding Board is effective in ensuring delivery of appropriate safeguarding activity & outcomes for
		2.0	8.2

N = to be met from existing resources Y = in year or investment budgeted

Yr2 = 2009/10

Adult Social Care

Leeds City Council APPENDIX 2 Not for Publication: Exempt under Access to Information Procedure Rule 9.2 (i)

	Y Incorporate into budget for 09/10	Y Incorporate d into budget for 09/10
	Adult Safeguarding Plan 2008/09	Adult Safeguarding Plan 2008/09
	Chief Officer (Social Care Commissioning)	Chief Officer (Social Care Commissioning)
	Chief Executives/ Officers of safeguarding partners	Adult Safeguarding Board
	Safeguarding Partnership Board/ NED's / Elected Members/ Service users and carers	Safeguarding Partnership Board/ NED's / Elected Members
0 -1:1	Annua audits & good governance review, all sub groups have work plans and deliver them. Annual Report is produced in May accompanied by a business plan for the following year. 1/4ly Performance reports are available for examination by agency and Local Government overview and scrutiny arrangements. (see Rec 2.3) The work of the board is open to challenge by established group of service users and their carers.	Annual Report contains details of volume of activity and quality of outcomes from all partners. Performance improvement and learning points are incorporated into future action plans.
	May- 09	Мау- 09
	Sep-	Dec- 08
	Yr 1 Qtr 3 & 4	Yr 1 Qtr 4
	The work of the Board is reported through the governance structures of the respective partners. Elected members will receive reports through the Adult Social Care Scrutiny Board The reports to include progress against the plan, the business plan and work programme for the following year.	The annual report is ratified by the governance structures of safeguarding parthers including the Executive Board of the Council and its Overview and Scrutiny Board(s).
	Performance of the board and its subgroups meets the requirements of the Good Governance Standard in Public Services adopted by the partnership	Performance of the board and its subgroups meets the requirements of the Good Governance Standard in Public Services adopted by the partnership
	ස හ	8. 4.

Draft Version TW5

Yr2 = 2009/10

Recommendation 9: The Council should ensure more inclusive and individualised assessments.

Recommendation 12: The Council should ensure that opportunities to promote individualised care plans utilising direct payments are always seized

Additional	Y Incorporate d into budget for 09/10 and 10/11	z	
Related Plans: Strategic, Council, Business, etc.	Self Directed Care Care Programme Workforce Development/ Self Directed Care Programme		
Chief Officer: Accountable for achieving the aim	Chief Officer (Access and Inclusion) Chief Officer (Learning Disability)	Chief Officer Workford (Access and Inclusion) Self Direct (Amange Chief Officer (Learning Programm Disability)	
Lead: Who will be responsible for delivering the work?	Jemima Sparks (Business Change Project Manager)	Jemima Sparks (Business Change Project Manager)	
Key Stakeholders: Who needs to be involved in the work or consulted?	In Control/ Providers/ Service User and carers.	Providers/ Fieldwork Practitioners/ Service Users and Carers	
Success Criteria: How will you know that the action has achieved its intended aim? le, task complete, measures in place.	35% of services are delivered through individual budgets. Satisfaction and outcomes surveys show increased levels of choice and control including increased opportunities for self-assessment.	Frontline staff understand and apply to practice the principles of personalisation as evidenced by measures of 1/ Delivery 2/ Feedback Delivery targets: 759 recipients 08/09 yr. Feedback baseline: 43% survey respondents report	
Actu al Finis h		Mar-09 De vr. 7.7.7	
Plan Finish	Mar-11	Mar-09	
Plan Start	Apr-08	Oct-08	
Urgency	Yr 1 Qtr to Yr 3 Qtr 4	Vr 1 Qtr 3 & 4	
Action	Progressing action plans for whole systems transformation through Self Directed Care Programme. Progress reviewed by DMT (SU involvement at Board, Team & workshop level).	Continuing process of workshops communicating to practitioners the vision of personalisation and setting challenges for individuals around IB & DP and developing awareness.	
Aim/Outcome	Personalised services deliver greater choice and control as evidenced in delivery and feedback	Personalised con services deliver practition on trol as per evidenced in delivery and feedback DP	
	2.0	6 6	

Yr2 = 2009/10Yr1 = 2008/09,

Draft Version TW5

Recommendation 10: The Council should promote more ambitious, outcome focused care planning.

Leeds City Council APPENDIX 2 Not for Publication: Exempt under Access to Information Procedure Rule 9.2 (i)

	Y In year budget	z
	Self Directed Care Programme	Leeds Strategic Plan 2008/11 Access and Inclusion Service Plan 2008/09
	Chief Officer (Access and Inclusion) Chief Officer (Learning Disability)	Chief Officer (Access and Inclusion) Chief Officer (Learning Disability)
	Jemima Sparks (Business Change Project Manager)	Brian Ratner, Nyoka Fothergill, Jim Taynor, Phil Schoffield, Jane Moran, Gill Chapman, Steve Bardsley. (Service Delivery Managers)
	Providers/ Fieldwork Practitioners/ Service Users and Carers/ In Control	Service Users, regulators and partners, Performance leads
being offered DP. Targets to be agreed.	Leeds has joined the 'in Control,' Programme	Measurable standards for outcome focused assessment and care planning which include respect for the person and timeliness have been communicated to all staff and are being used as evidenced by measures including Targets 08/09: Older people assessed in 4 weeks: 85% Survey respondents happy with the assessment process: 90% Survey respondents report that assessing sw is courteous and helpful: 90% Further baselines and targets to be established in relation to quality factors and self funders
	Oct 08	
	Mar 09	Aug-09
	Oct-08	Dec- 08
	Yr 1 Qtr 3	Yr 1 Qtr 4
	Join 'In Control' Programme.	Agree measurable standards for outcome focused assessments and care planning and communicate to staff, These include 1/ Timeliness 2/ Choice and control 3/ Respect for the person 4/ including those that fund their own care & support.
	Personalised services deliver greater choice and control as evidenced in delivery and feedback	Almost all service users report that they have accurate accessible information and that care processes are undertaken with respect to the person, in a timely manner, the range of services met preferences and they consider they are more in control
	හ _. ග	9. 4.

Yr1 = 2008/09, Yr2 = 2009/10

Draft Version TW5

Leeds City Council APPENDIX 2 Not for Publication: Exempt under Access to Information Procedure Rule 9.2 (i)

Y Nu year budget	≺ In year budget
Leeds Strategic Plan 2008/11 Access and Inclusion Service Plan 2008/09	Leeds Strategic Plan 2008/11 Access and Inclusion Service Plan 2008/09
Deputy Director (Partnerships & Organisational Effectiveness)	Chief Officer (Access and Inclusion) Chief Officer (Learning Disabilities) Chief Officer (Social Care Commissioning) Chief Officer (Resources)
Wendy Emerson (ESCR Programme Manager)	Mike Sells (Communication Manager)
Integrated assessment group to include Health Partners, Housing, Contact Centre, Community Safety, In Control, Modernisation Team, Safeguarding Team,	Integrated assessment group to include Health Parthers, Housing, Contact Centre, Community Safety, In Control, Modernisation Team, Safeguarding Team,
All agencies ultimately use and contribute to SAP/CAF to result in effective outcome based assessment and care planning. Evidenced by file audit process.	Evidence shows effective support for service users and carers in the provision of accurate, accessible and appropriate information and advocacy services Targets 08/09: Older people assessed in 4 weeks: 85% Survey respondents happy with the assessment process: 90% Survey respondents report that information is adequate: 90% Targets for advocacy services to be established.
Mar-10	60-unr
Dec- 08	Mar- 09
Yr 1 Qtr 4	Yr 2 Qtr 1
Ensure SAP/ introduction of CAF in line with an enablement approach and personalisation is embedded in all policies, procedures, tools and methodology relating to assessments. Involve all relevant agencies to ensure an integrated assessment. (see Recommendation 19.2)	The infrastructure is established to support service users and carers with partners, including access to accessible and timely information and advocacy services. (See recommendation 13).
Assessments and care plan are inclusive, individual, ambitious and outcome focused.	Service users and carers have appropriate access to information and advocacy.
8.9	<u>ဖ</u> တ

Leeds City Council APPENDIX 2 Not for Publication: Exempt under Access to Information Procedure Rule 9.2 (i)

z	۲ In year budget
Self Directed Care Programme	Leeds Strategic Plan 2008/11 Access and Inclusion Service Plan 2008/09
Chief Officer (Resources)	Chief Officer (Social Care Commissioning)
Mike Sells (Communications Manager) Brian Ratner, Nyoka Fothergill, Jim Taynor, Phil Schoffield, Jane Moran, Gill Chapman, Steve Bardsley. (Service Delivery Managers)	Stuart Cameron- Strickland (Head of Performance)
Providers/ Fieldwork Practitioners/ Service Users and Carers	Integrated assessment group to include Health Parthers, Housing, Contact Centre, Community Safety, In Control, Modernisation Team, Safeguarding Team,
Survey respondents are aware of IB/DP as evidenced by measures of 1/ Delivery 2/ Feedback Delivery targets: 759 recipients 08/09 yr. 2,417 recipients 09/10 yr. Feedback baseline: 43% survey respondents report being offered DP. Targets to be agreed.	QA assurance process to monitor that personalised services are delivered and vulnerable adults empowered to choose as evidenced by measures of 1/Delivery 2/Feedback Delivery targets: 759 recipients 08/09 yr. 759 recipients 08/09 yr. Feedback baseline: 43% survey respondents report being offered DP.
60-des	Jun-09
Yr 2 Qtr 1 Apr-09	Yr 2 Qtr 1 09
Establish internal and public communication strategy to raise awareness and expectations of self directed care in current and potential service users	Arrangements for QA outlined under recommendation 2 are operational.
Almost all service users report that they have accurate accessible information, advice and advocacy supported when needed to make choices and exercise control.	QA processes effectively support improved service delivery
9.7	<u></u> ω σ

N = to be met from existing resources Y = in year or investment budgeted

Yr2 = 2009/10

Recommendation 11: The Council should ensure that departmental standards in relation to the timeliness and the quality of regular reviews are met.

Additional Resources	z	z
Related Plans: Strategic, Council, Business, etc.	Access and Inclusion & LD Service Plans 2008/09	Access and Inclusion & LD Service Plans 2008/09
Chief Officer: Accountable for achieving the aim	Chief Officer (Access and Inclusion) Chief Officer (Learning Disabilities)	Chief Officer (Access and Inclusion) Chief Officer (Learning Disabilities)
Lead: Who will be responsible for deliverng the work?	Brian Ratner, Nyoka Fothergill, Jim Taynor, Phil Schoffeld, Jane Moran, Gill Chapman, Steve	(Service Delivery Managers)
Key Stakeholders: Who needs to be involved in the work or consulted?	Performance leads/ Practitioners/ Reviewing Team/ Service Users and Carers	Performance leads/ Practitioners/ Reviewing Team/ Service Users and Carers
Success Criteria: How will you know that the action has achieved its intended aim? le, task complete, measures in place.	From an 07/08 baseline of 63% In Year 1: 76% of service users to receive a timely review. In Year 2: 80% of service users to receive a timely review.	Quality standards established with operational staff. 75% of all reviews meet core quality standards as evidenced in file audit process.
Actual Finish		
Plan Finish	Mar-09	Jun-09 Jan 10
Plan Start	Dec- 08	Dec- 08 Jun 09
Urgency	Yr 1 Qtr 4	Yr 1/2 Qtr 4/1 Yr 2 Qtr 2/3
Action	Review current systems, determine resources required and align these to ensure that reviews are undertaken in a timely manner inline with FAC's guidance.	Agree quality outcome focused standards for reviews to incorporate personalisation and risk factors
Aim/Outcome	Standards & expectations in relation to the timeliness and the quality of regular reviews are met	Standards & expectations in relation to the timeliness and the quality of regular reviews are met
	11.1	11.2

Yr2 = 2009/10

Recommendation 13: The Council should build on the wide availability of advocacy services by specifying and focusing the circumstances in which it should be used to empower people.

Additional	z	Y In year budget
Related Plans: Strategic, Council, Business, etc.	Adult Social Care Business Plan 2009/10 Commissioning Prospectus 2008/09 Commissioning Service Plan 2008/09	Adult Social Care Business Plan 2009/10 Commissioning Prospectus 2008/09 Commissioning Service Plan 2008/09
Chief Officer: Accountable for achieving the aim	Chief Officer (Social Care Commissioning)	Chief Officer (Social Care Commissioning)
Lead: Who will be responsible for delivering the work?	Mick Ward (Head of Strategic Partnerships and Development)	Tim O'Shea (Head of Adult Social care Commissioning)
Key Stakeholders: Who needs to be involved in the work or consulted?	Providers/ Commissioners/ Service users and carers	Providers/ Commissioners/ Service users and carers
Success Criteria: How will you know that the action has achieved its intended aim? le, task complete, measures in place.	The following range of advocacy requirements are incorporated: - Crisis - Task or issue - Representational - Short-term or - Long-term - Independent Mental - Capacity Advocacy - (IMCA)	In coordination with parthers, procurement and contracting arrangements are implemented to meet the agreed Leeds model
Actual Finish		
Plan Finish	Aug-09	Mar 10
Plan Start	Jan-09	Aug 09
Urgency	Yr 1 Qtr 4	Year 2 Qtrs
Action	Determine requirements in Leeds for advocacy	The authority has implemented a user led advocacy service which - Empowers individuals, - Promotes independence & safeguarding Meets the full range of cultural & service user needs.
Aim/Outcome	Almost all service users report that they have accurate accessible information, advice and advocacy supported when needed to make choices and exercise control.	Almost all service users report that they have accurate accurate information, advice and advocacy supported when needed to make choices and exercise control.
	د 2.	13.2

Yr2 = 2009/10

Leeds City Council APPENDIX 2 Not for Publication: Exempt under Access to Information Procedure Rule 9.2 (i)

		Y Incorporate into budget for 09/10		
		Adult Social Care Business Plan 2009/10/ Workforce Development Plan (2009/11)		
Chief Officer (Resources)				
		Mike Sells (Communication Manager) Graham Sephton (Deputy HR Manager)		
		Contact Leeds Partners/ users and Carers	^	
	People are enabled to live the life they chose and the impact of disability is minimised.	Vulnerable people are appropriately referred to advocacy services as measured by independent quality assurance/ file auditing system (See recommendation 2)	Baseline and targets to be established.	
		Mar 11		
	April 10			
	Year 3 Qtr 1-4			
Audit and assurance activity evidences delivery of effective advocacy services.				

Yr2 = 2009/10

Recommendation 14: The Council should extend the range and choice of services by reconfiguring and modernising traditional, buildings-based services

Additional Resources	Y To be incorporated into 09/10 budget requirements
Related Plans: Strategic, Council, Business, etc.	Adult Social Care Business Plan 2009/10 Service Improvement Plans
Chief Officer: Accountable for achieving the aim	Chief Officer (Social Care Commissioning) Chief Officer (Support & Enablement)
Lead: Who will be responsible for delivering the work?	Tim O'Shea (Head of Adult Commissioning) Paul Hardy (Head of Adult Resources)
Key Stakeholders: Who needs to be involved in the work or consulted?	Service Users and Carers Directly provided and commissioned services. HR Elected Members
Success Criteria: How will you know that the action has achieved its intended aim? le, task complete, measures in place.	The Local Authority has identified the nature of its business in relation to buildings based services. Senior managers and elected members agree options regarding the future of buildings based services which provide the basis of a work programme.
Actual Finish	
Plan Finish	Oct 09
Plan Start	April 09
Urgency	Years 2- Qtr 1-2
Action	Procure external expert advice to generate an options appraisal regarding steps to shift the emphasis of social care interventions away from building based services. 1/ LA cease to be a direct provider of buildings based services. 2/ Minimal & specifically targeted role for LA in providing services.
Aim/Outcome	1/Services are commissioned and delivered to clear standards, offer good care value and are linked to Our Health, Our Care, Our Say, outcomes. 2/Almost all people who use services & their carers are involved in development work, review & are integral to the commissioning process
	14.1

Draft Version TW5

Yr2 = 2009/10

Leeds City Council APPENDIX 2 Not for Publication: Exempt under Access to Information Procedure Rule 9.2 (i)

Y To be incorporated into 09/10 budget requirements	Y To be incorporated into 09/10 budget requirements
Adult Social Care Business Plan 2009/10 Service Improvement Plans	Adult Social Care Business Plan 2009/10 Service Improvement Plans
Chief Officer (Social Care Commissioning) Chief Officer (Support & Enablement)	Chief Officer (Social Care Commissioning) Chief Officer (Support & Enablement)
Tim O'Shea (Head of Adult Commissioning) Paul Hardy (Head of Adult Resources)	Tim O'Shea (Head of Adult Commissioning) Paul Hardy (Head of Adult Resources)
Service Users and Carers Directly provided and commissioned services. HR Elected Members	Service Users and Carers Directly provided and commissioned services. HR Elected Members
A programme of work which has been developed with the involvement of service users and their carers is agreed by senior managers and elected members. Resources and support to operationalise the programme is in place (see Rec 24 in relation to Workforce Strategy)	The new model is put in place and contributes to a wider range of personalised service options which promote independence health and wellbeing and enables people to live the life they chose whilst minimising the impact of any disability. Baseline and targets to be agreed. To include: No's DP/IB recipients (35% of services delivered through DP/IB by March 2011) No's helped to live at home.
April 10	April 12
00 t 09	April 10
Yr 2- Qtr 3-4	7.7 × 4.8 % 8.8 × 4.8 ×
A programme plan and resources to support is put in place to take forward agreed options	The programme of work is undertaken to deliver the new model in relation to: 1/ Residential Care 2/ Daycare 3/ Homecare
Services are commissioned and delivered to clear standards, offer good care value and are linked to Our Health, Our Care, Our Say, outcomes.	Service user, regulatory and other feedback confirm responsiveness, relevance, capacity to mitigate risk & promote independence, well being and quality outcomes for those who use them.
14.2	14.3

N = to be met from existing resources Y = in year or investment budgeted

Yr2 = 2009/10

Leeds City Council APPENDIX 2 Not for Publication: Exempt under Access to Information Procedure Rule 9.2 (i)

z	z
Commissioning prospectus 2008/09	Commissioning prospectus 2008/09 Adult Services Business Plan 2008/09
Chief Officer (Social Care Commissioning)	Chief Officer (Social Care Commissioning)
Tim O'Shea (Head of Adult Commissioning)	Tim O'Shea (Head of Adult Commissioning) Mark Phillott (Commissioning Manager)
ASC, LTHT, PCT PCT Commissioners Service users and carers. Frontline staff including fieldwork practitioners	ASC, LTHT, PCT Commissioners . Service users and carers. Frontline staff including fieldwork practitioners
Service level agreements are in place for; 08/09: Homecare. 09/10: Residential and daycare	Formal agreements with LPCT regarding joint commissioning frameworks Service specifications in place for homecare and other key services
Apr-09 Mar 10	Apr-09
Nov-08 Apr 09	Jan-09
Yr 1 Qtr 4 Yr 2 Qtr 1/4	Yr 1 Qtr 4
Extend current contract and monitoring arrangements to cover directly provided services	Establishment of agreements and Service Specifications jointly with the PCT for - residential (including specialist and general) care, - home care, - day care
Directly provided services have clear contractual arrangements including performance and QA measures which are monitored and reported.	Develop formal joint commissioning frameworks with health to extend the range of options for delivering personalised services
4. 4.	14.5

Yr2 = 2009/10

Leeds City Council

APPENDIX 2 Not for Publication: Exempt under Access to Information Procedure Rule 9.2 (i)

Recommendation 15: The Council and partners should strengthen hospital discharge procedures by focusing on the quality of peoples experiences

Recommendation 16: The Council and partners should strengthen hospital discharge procedures by setting out clear reciprocal responsibilities with procedures in place for ensuring compliance with those

standards.
Recommendation 17: The Council and partners should strengthen hospital discharge procedures by agreeing a process for resolving and learning from concerns about the quality of multi-disciplinary work.

Additional Resources	z
Related Plans: Strategic, Council, Business, etc.	Leeds Hospital Discharge Procedure Leeds Continuing Care Protocol
Chief Officer: Accountable for achieving the aim	Chief Officer (Access and Inclusion) Chief Officer (Learning Disabilities) Director of Commissioning (Leeds NHS)
Lead: Who will be responsible for delivering the work?	Philip Schofield (Service Delivery Manager)
Key Stakeholder s: Who needs to be involved in the work or consulted?	JCMT, Intermediate care, Hospital SW, LTHT, LPFT, NHS Leeds, Vol sector, Patient Involvement Group, Older Peoples Perference group, Hospital Transport (YAS), Commissioni ng, Multi- agency Operational Discharge Group
Success Criteria: How will you know that the action has achieved its intended aim? Ie, task complete, measures in place.	Actions taken prevent unnecessary hospital admission and enable timely & safe hospital discharge which maintains dignity and respect Regular reports are provided to the Leeds Joint Commissioning Board for Adults
Actual Finish	Nov 08
Plan Finish	Nov 08
Plan Start	Oct 08
Urgency	Yr 1 Qtr 3 &
Action	The remit of the existing Planned and Urgent Care Group is extended to undertake the following: Revising current protocol, procedures and practice to ensure that: 1/ the roles of different professionals are clear. 2/ the hospital discharge process is timely, safe and ensures a consideration of dignity and respect for the individual. 3/ a process for resolving disputes is in place
Aim/Outcome	People access a range of care services that promote their independence.
	15.1

Draft Version TW5

Yr2 = 2009/10

Yr1 = 2008/09,

Leeds City Council APPENDIX 2 Not for Publication: Exempt under Access to Information Procedure Rule 9.2 (i)

z	Z
Leeds Hospital Discharge Procedure Leeds Continuing Care Protocol	
Director of Commissioning (Leeds NHS) Chief Officer (Access and Inclusion) Chief Officer (Learning Disabilities)	Chief Officer (Access and Inclusion) Chief Officer (Learning Disabilities)
Philip Schoffeld (Service Delivery Manager)	Philip Schoffeld (Service Delivery Manager)
JCMT, Intermediate care, Hospital SW, LTHT, LPFT, NHS Leeds, Vol sector, Patient Involvement Group, Older Peoples reference group, Hospital Transport (YAS), Commissioni ng, Multi- agency Operational Discharge Group	Joint Strategic Commissioni ng Board,
There is a signed protocol between ASC and health partners covering hospital discharge procedures, continuing care and disputes resolution. Protocol and procedure agreed by health partners and ASC and included in contractual arrangements. Protocol and procedure agreed by neighbouring hospitals and ASC, ie, Harrogate, Bradford, Wakefield.	Baseline for delayed discharges of 27. Establish and initiate a baseline and targets. To include data and info from: Reviews of service users. Complaints User experience surveys Included in reports to the JSCB
Mar 09 Nov 09	Apr-09
Nov 08 Mar 09	Jan-09
Yr 182 Qtr 4/1-3	Yr 1 Qtr 4
New protocol and procedure published and adopted by local hospitals including, terms written into the contract between LTHT, NHS Leeds and ASC. New protocol and procedures agreed with significant out of Leeds neighbouring hospitals	Regular monitoring and reports are prepared by the Planned and Urgent Care Group and submitted to the Joint Strategic Commissioning Board (JSCB)
People access a range of care services to promote their independence. These prevent unnecessary hospital admission and enable timely & safe hospital discharge which maintains dignity and respect	The monitoring of hospital discharge arrangements is effective and lessons are learned from concerns.
15.2	15.3

N = to be met from existing resources Y = in year or investment budgeted

Yr2 = 2009/10

Yr1 = 2008/09,

29

Leeds City Council APPENDIX 2 Not for Publication: Exempt under Access to Information Procedure Rule 9.2 (i)

	Additional Resources	Y Incorporate into 09/10 budget and 10/11 budget setting.	Y Incorporate into 09/10 budget and 10/11 budget setting.
	Related Plans: Strategic, Council, Business, etc.	Adult Social Care Business Plan	Adult Social Care Business Plan
	Chief Officer: Accountable for achieving the aim	Chief Officer (Resources)	Chief Officer (Resources)
	Lead: Who will be responsible for delivering the work?	Mike Sells (Communication Manager)	Mike Sells (Communication Manager)
	Key Stakeholders: Who needs to be involved in the work or consulted?	Corporate Communicatio ns Unit, Partner agencies frontline staff and communication s staff, ie, PCT, LTHT, LMHT, VCFS, Carers Leads, Contact centre	Corporate Communicatio ns Unit, Parther agencies frontline staff and communication s staff, ie, PCT, LTHT, LMHT, VCFS, Carers Leads, contact centre
vices.	Success Criteria: How will you know that the action has achieved its intended aim? le, task complete, measures in place.	Adult Social Care Information, Communications & Marketing Strategy is set out as part of the 2009/10 Business Plan. Service users and carers are actively involved in development work, planning and review.	Adult Social Care Information, Communications & Marketing Strategy is implemented.
arer's ser	Actual Finish		
range of c	Plan Finish	90-InC	Apr-10
n about the	Plan Start	Apr-09	Sep-09
f informatio	Urgency	Yr 2 Qtr	Year 2 Qtrs 3-4
Recommendation 18: The council should improve the availability of information about the range of carer's services.	Action	Undertake a gap analysis, in consultation with carers & service users, of current information needs. Identify and appraise options to inform a communications strategy which ensures that people have the information they require when they require it.	Communication and social marketing strategy - awareness raising and where appropriate training and with key staff including partner agencies.
nmendation 18: The council s	Aim/Outcome	Establish communication and information requirements enabling a proactive approach to ensuring information is available when required.	Information, Communication and a Marketing strategy ensures that carers have access to timely information
Recom		18.1	18.2

Draft Version TW5

Yr2 = 2009/10

Yr1 = 2008/09,

Leeds City Council APPENDIX 2 Not for Publication: Exempt under Access to Information Procedure Rule 9.2 (i)

Y Incorporate	budget and 10/11 budget	setting.	
Adult	Social Care Business Plan	<u>.</u>	
	Chief Officer (Resources)		
	Mike Sells (Communication Manager)		
Corporate Communicatio ns Unit, Partner agencies	frontline staff and communication s staff ie PCT	LTHT, LMHT, VCFS, Carers Leads, contact	centre
Carers and people who use services are helped to understand how to maintain wellbeing through a range of accessible information provided in partnership.	90% of survey respondents report that information provided is adequate as an initial paseline	Adult Social Care Information, Communications & Marketing	Strategy is reviewed to establish further baseline and targets.
	Mar 09	Sep-10	
	Dec 08	Apr- 10	
	Year 3 Qtr 1-2		
Put arrangements in place to review, monitor and assure supply chain and effective communications with carers.			
Carers confirm that they are well informed about services. They have information, which is accurate, accessible and appropriate in terms of their culture, sexuality, age, gender and religion.			
	18.3		

N = to be met from existing resources Y = in year or investment budgeted

Yr2 = 2009/10

Recommendation 19: The Council and partners should improve the use by staff of the wide range of preventative services in preventative support packages for particularly vulnerable people in the community.

Additional	Y Incorporate into 2009/10 budget setting	Y Incorporate into 2009/10 budget setting
Related Plans: Strategic, Council, Business, etc.	Adult Social Care Business Plan Commissioning Prospectus 2008/09 Commissioning Service Plan	Leeds Strategic Plan 2008/11 Access and Inclusion Service Plan 2008/09
Chief Officer: Accountable for achieving the aim	Chief Officer (Social Care Commissioning)	Deputy Director (Partnerships & Organisational Effectiveness)
Lead: Who will be responsible for delivering the work?	Mike Sells (Communication Manager) Mick Ward (Head of Strategic Partnerships and Development).	Wendy Emerson (ESCR Programme Manager)
Key Stakeholders: Who needs to be involved in the work or consulted?	Adult Social Care fieldwork/ Service Providers/ Communicatio	Adult Social Care fieldwork/ Service Providers/ Communicatio
Success Criteria: How will you know that the action has achieved its intended aim? Ie, task complete, measures in place.	Relevant workers have information regarding the range of options currently available and monitoring of preventative services reflect this as measured in 19.3.	Staff in preventative services use and are involved in outcome focused assessment and care planning as measured in 19.3.
Actual Finish		
Plan Finish	90-unc	-voV -09
Plan	Apr- 09	Aug- 09
Urgency	Year 2 Qtr 1	Year 2 Qtrs 2-3
Action	Ensure teams are aware of locality options, including all relevant staff in ASC and partner agencies to receive a social isolation toolkit which specify the range of preventative services.	Ensure that SAP/CAF is rolled out to all voluntary sector services so that assessments are more inclusive and include a range of preventative services. (See recommendation 9.5)
Aim/Outcome	Staff are aware of local preventative services, service users can access and influence appropriate care planning information.	Multiple Services are accessible through a single route
	19.1	19.2

Yr2 = 2009/10

Leeds City Council APPENDIX 2 Not for Publication: Exempt under Access to Information Procedure Rule 9.2 (i)

	Y In year budget				
	Adult Social Care Business Plan 2008/09 Access and Inclusion Service Plan Commissioning Service Plan 2008/09				
	Chief Officer (Social Care Commissioning)				
	Stuart Cameron- Strickland (Head of Performance) Mark Phillott (Commissioning Manager)				
	Adult Social Care fieldwork/ Service Providers/ Service Users and Carers/ Commissioners				
	Establish a baseline and targets for measuring use of preventative services to show a focus upon early prevention & reduced need for higher level support. To include data relating to 1/signposting and information given 2/review information, 3/surveys, 4/evidence from case file audits. 5/ Hospital admissions & numbers entering long term residential				
	Apr-09				
	Jan- 09				
	Quality Assurance systems show that there is a successful focus upon early preventative services need for higher level support services.				
	<u>ර</u> ර				

Recommendation 20: The Council and partners should agree a set of joint funding priorities and set out clear service development plans with associated joint management arrangements and joint funding

N = to be met from existing resources Y = in year or investment budgeted

Draft Version TW5

Leeds City Council APPENDIX 2 Not for Publication: Exempt under Access to Information Procedure Rule 9.2 (i)

z	۲ Incorporate into 09/10 budget
Leeds Strategic Plan 2008/11 Adult Social Care Business Plan Commissioning Prospectus 2008/09.	Adult Social Care Business Plan 2008/09 Adult Social Care Commissioning Prospectus
Chief Officer (Social Care Commissioning)	Director of Adult Social Services Chief Executive NHS Leeds
Tim O'Shea (Head of Adult Commissioning) Mick Ward (Head of Strategic Partnerships & Development)	Dennis Holmes (Chief Officer Social Care Commissioning) Steve Hume (Chief officer Resources)
Health and ASC Commissione rs/ Health and Social Care Service Providers/ Service User and Carer reps/ Older Peoples Modernisatio n Team.	ASC Leeds PCT Birmingham University
Strategy and plans include an understanding of the local market, cost considerations, quality factors and link to financial plans. 1/ Publish joint commissioning prospectus. 2/ Revise and republish Older Better. Strategic commissioning developed to link joint investment to activity over time.	1/ Undertake diagnostic phase 2/ Operational phase Effective joint working as commissioners and/or integrated providers, results in the delivery of outcomes which meet the needs and expectations of service users and their carers and deliver value.
Sep-09	Oct 09 Apr 10
Nov 08	Apr 09
Yr 1 Qtr 3 &4	Yr1 Qtr3
Undertake an analysis of older peoples commissioning opportunities in consultation with older people & providers across health and social care.	Engage with the University of Birmingham to identify opportunities for greater joint commissioning activity and for further integration.
Determine priorities for older peoples commissioning with partners which promote choice, control, health and wellbeing	Achieve a shared agreed framework for integrated leadership in the delivery of joint responses to meet health and social care needs in Leeds
20.3	20.4

N = to be met from existing resources Y = in year or investment budgeted

Yr2 = 2009/10

Leeds City Council APPENDIX 2 Not for Publication: Exempt under Access to Information Procedure Rule 9.2 (i)

Y Incorporate into 09/10 budget				
Leeds Strategic Plan/ Adult Social Care Business Plan/ Commissioning Prospectus 2008/09.				
Chief Officer (Social Care Commissioning)				
Mick Ward (Head of Strategic Partnerships and Development) Tim O'Shea (Head of Adult Commissioning)				
JCMT/ Intermediate Care/ Homecare providers/ Heafth and ASC Commissione rs/ Service Users and Carers reps				
Systems and infrastructure to support joint working in place and enabling staff to delivery safe dignified transfers of care. Baseline and measures to be developed, to include data from, complaints, reviews, delayed transfers. Reports on progress are submitted on a quarterly basis to the Leeds Joint Commissioning Board				
Apr-09 Oct 09				
Jan 09 Apr 09				
Yr 1 Qtr 4				
Review intermediate tier, JCMT, Mental Health Teams, Hospital Discharge Review and develop joint commissioning/market management of homecare. (X ref to 20.3)				
Options which will maximise effective joint working to best meet the needs of people and deliver outcomes are identified.				
20.5				

Yr2 = 2009/10

Recommendation 22: The Council should implement a system to ensure compliance with the expectations of the supervision policy.

Additional Resources	Z	z	
Related Plans: Strategic, Council, Business, etc.	Adult Social Care Business Plan and Service Plans 2008/09/ Adult Safeguarding Plan 2008/09	Adult Social Care Business Plan and Service Plans 2008/09/ Adult Safeguarding Plan 2008/09	
Chief Officer: Accountable for achieving the aim	Chief Officer (Learning Disabilities) Chief Officer (Access and Inclusion)	Chief Officer (Resources)	
Lead: Who will be responsible for delivering the work?	John Lennon (Chief Officer Access and Inclusion)	Graham Sephton (Deputy HR Manager)	
Key Stakeholders: Who needs to be involved in the work or consulted?	Adult Social Care Senior Management Team/ Adult Social Care Managers	Adult Social Care Senior Management Team/ Adult Social Care Managers/ Human Resources	
Success Criteria: How will you know that the action has achieved its intended aim? le, task complete, measures in place.	Ensure implement policy in relation to supervision across 100% of assessment and care management staff.	Revised supervision policy published. Revised supervision policy rolled out to all fieldwork staff. Baseline and targets in relation to compliance and effectiveness to be established. To include 1/File audit process. 2/Employee survey. 13/ Investors in People reviews.	
Actual			
Plan Finish	Mar 09	Mar- 09 Mar 10	
Plan Start	Oct 08	Oct 08 Mar 09	
Urgency	Yr 1 Qtr 3 & 4	Yr 1 Qtr 4	
Action	QA of compliance with the current supervision policy will form part of the file audit process outlined under recommendation 2.2 & 2.3.	Review the existing supervision policy to include: 1/ Align with requirements in relation to safeguarding and personalisation, 2/ A separate codicil of professional requirements for fieldwork staff. 3/ Align with corporate work in this area.	
Aim/Outcome	Explicit expectations on supervision are met. They enable compliance with standards and focus on consistency, learning and better outcomes for people who use services.	Explicit expectations on supervision are met. They enable compliance with standards and focus on consistency, learning and better outcomes for people who use services.	
	22.1	22.2	

Yr2 = 2009/10Yr1 = 2008/09,

Draft Version TW5

Leeds City Council APPENDIX 2 Not for Publication: Exempt under Access to Information Procedure Rule 9.2 (i)

Recommendation 23: The council should make the established business planning process more effective by cascading general intentions in strategic vision documents into more effective action and team plans.

	Additional Resources	z	z
	Related Plans: Strategic, Council, Business, etc.	Adult Social Care Business Plan and Service Plans 2008/09/ Adult Safeguarding Plan 2008/09	Adult Social Care Care Business Plan and Service Plans 2008/09/ Adult Safeguarding Plan 2008/09
	Chief Officer: Accountable for achieving the aim	Chief Officer (Resources)	Chief Officer (Adult Social Care Commissioning
	Lead: Who will be responsible for delivering the work?	Tracy Cartmell (Head of Transformation)	Stuart Cameron- Strickland (Head of Performance)
	Key Stakeholder S: Who needs to be involved in the work or consulted?	Adult Social Care Chief Officers/ Adult Social Care Teams	Adult Social Care Chief Officers/ Adult Social Care Teams
	Success Criteria: How will you know that the action has achieved its intended aim? Ie, task complete, measures in place.	Staff are supported in the planning process: road shows; service conferences; team engagement Each action within Adult Social Care plan will have populated detailed team plans against which their progress can be monitored. Teams know and reflect the business priorities in their team plans. Plans monitored through supervision and team meetings.	Performance management framework demonstrates team improvements overall as part of the overall business planning process via quarterly reports to DMT performance board.
Ī	Actual Finish		
	Plan Finish	60-unr	Mar 10
_	Plan Start	Feb-09	90 unr
	Urgency	Yr 1 Qtr 4 to Yr2 Qtr 1	Yr 1 Qtr 4 to Yr2 Qtr 1
	Action	Arrangements are put in place for the financial year 2009/10 to ensure that teams are engaged in setting out how they will contribute individually to achieve service improvement.	The business planning process establishes which are the key business priorities at a strategic level and communicates these to the rest of the organisation.
	Aim/Outcome	Business priorities are cascaded and included in effective team plans.	Business priorities are cascaded and included in effective team plans.
		23.1	23.2

Yr1 = 2008/09, Yr2 = 2009/10

Draft Version TW5

iver	Additiona I Resource s	Y In year budget	Y In year budget	Y In year budget
o be utilised to del	Related Plans: Ad Strategic, I Council, Res Business, etc. s	Service Business Plans Workforce In Development b Service Plan	Adult Social Care Business Plan and Service Plans 2008/09 In Adult Safeguarding Plan 2008/09	Workforce In Development b Service Plan
gn processes are t	Chief Officer: St Accountable Co for achieving Bu	Bu Chief Officer (Resources)	Chief Officer (Resources)	Chief Officer (Resources)
Recommendation 24: The council should publish a workforce development plan which reflects the reshaped services and sets out how retraining and job redesign processes are to be utilised to deliver the skills needed to reconfigure services.	Lead: Who will be responsible for delivering the work?	Graham Sephton (Deputy HR Manager)	Graham Sephton (Deputy HR Manager)	Graham Sephton (Deputy HR Manager)
	Key Stakeholders: Who needs to be involved in the work or consulted?	Communications team; Chief Officers; Specialist functions - HR, Finance, IT, Asset management	Communications team; Chief Officers; Specialist functions - HR, Finance, IT, Asset management	LCC Corporate HR team; Service teams
	Success Criteria: How will you know that the action has achieved its intended aim? Ie, task complete, measures in place.	Framework launched.	Staff are equipped with the skills and knowledge required to deliver the personalisation agenda addressed. These include requirements linked to safeguarding and the role of the independent sector within the delivery of personalised service delivery.	An agreed set of performance measures for workforce development will exist and managers can evidence that staff are competent for their role
reflects th	Actual Finish			
ncil should publish a workforce development plan which r e services.	Planned Finish	June-09	May-09	Mar-09
	Planned Start	Nov-08	Dec-08 Oct 09	Oct-08
	Urgenc y	Yr 1 Qtr 4 Yr 2 Qtr 1	Yr 1 Qtr 4 Yr 2 Qtr 3	Yr 1 Qtr 4
	Action	Create and launch a framework that maps competencies, skills and knowledge for key roles and groups in Adult Social Care in relation to safeguarding, personalisation & the requirements of business change (see Rec 14).	Publish our 3 year workforce strategy which reflect commissioning intentions and planned business change (2009 to 2012) Review in Oct 2009 in relation to plans in Recom 14	A new process for identifying investment and measuring the quality and impact of workforce development will be
Recommendation 24: The council should the skills needed to reconfigure services.	Aim/Outcome	There are sufficient appropriately skilled staff to undertake the full range of social care functions, particularly in relation to safeguarding and personalisation	There are sufficient appropriately skilled staff to undertake social care functions	Services are consistently provided by an appropriately skilled and knowledgeable workforce
Recom the skil		24.1	24.2	24.3

Yr2 = 2009/10Yr1 = 2008/09,

Draft Version TW5

Leeds City Council APPENDIX 2 Not for Publication: Exempt under Access to Information Procedure Rule 9.2 (i)

	∀ In year budget
	Adult Social Care Comms Strategy
	Chief Officer (Resources)
	Graham Sephton (Deputy HR Manager)
	Chief Officers; LCC Corporate IT team, Service teams Commissioning team
and can identify and respond to areas where staff competency issues exist. Measures to be developed which include data from: 1 / Staff survey. 2/ Investors in People reviews 3/ Occupational health data	Web site available by end of June 2009; service users are in receipt of services from appropriately skilled staff whose competency is measured by workforce competency measures and quality of delivered is confirmed through quality assurance systems
	90-unp
	Nov-08
	Yr 1 Qtr 4
introduced in the 2009/10 planning cycle. New reporting process will be introduced.	A web site will be created as a central resource for all information relating to workforce development. A clear description of what training and development is on offer to be communicated. Expected behaviours around the most important workforce development processes will also be shared, following the review of policy and process in each area.
	All will be aware of local skills standards and the support available to meet these standards
	24.4

N = to be met from existing resources Y = in year or investment budgeted

Yr2 = 2009/10